

MEETING NOTES

Meeting Location: In Person

Project: Design Review Board – November 2025

Date/Time: 11/18/25 – 9:00am

Re: DRB Meeting Notes

Notes By: Janine Glaeser, UW-Madison
FP&M, CPLA

File: P:\SHARE\Design Review Board\2025
MEETINGS\11-18-25 MTG\

Agenda (In Person):

- 1. Athletics Hall of Fame #22D5A**
Preliminary Design Review – DRB #1 Introduction

Committee Attendees:

Heidi Natura
Mary Czynszak-Lyne
Kevin Firchow
Rafeeq Asad
Terry Steelman
Tonia Pittman
Ex Officio: Scott Utter
Ex Officio: Peter Schlecht
Staff: Janine Glaeser

CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

Chair Schlecht called the meeting to order at 9:05 am. Roll call confirmed quorum.

APPROVAL OF MEETING NOTES

- September 18th DRB Meeting Notes were approved.
- Czynszak-Lyne motioned to approve; Natura seconded motion

DISCLOSURES AND RECUSALS

- None

LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

- Utter read the Land Acknowledgement

DRB Item #1: Hall of Fame Relocation Plan #22D5A
Design Development Review - DRB #2

Attendees:

- Jim Hinze, Berners Schober
- Nathan Hilmer, SmithGroup
- Jason King, UW Athletics
- Jeremy Schechterle, JP Cullen
- Lexie Baslington, FP&M
- Ginny Routhe, FP&M Asst VC for Project Delivery
- Gabe Mendez, FP&M Transportation
- Aaron Williams, CPLA FP&M
- Jonathan Bronk, CPLA FP&M
- Rhonda James, CPLA FP&M
- Van Van Wyk II, CPD FP&M
- Sadie Derouin, FP&M Sustainability

Project Introduction: The UW Athletics Department is proposing to relocate the existing Hall of Fame from the original location adjacent to the former shell to a new location.

DRB Review History:

- | | |
|------------------------|----------|
| - DRB #1 | 9/16/25 |
| - JCAC Referral to DRB | 10/23/25 |
| - DRB #2 | 11/18/25 |

Project Schedule:

- | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|
| - Final Documents: | February 2026 |
| - Construction Start: | May 2026 |
| - Completion: | September 2026 |

Recommendations from JCAC 10/23/25:

- JCAC recommendation, provide info on other campus locations considered (and why they don't work). Include a response to why the retaining wall by the sidewalk does not work.

DRB comments:

- Schlecht Comments:
 - o Expressed significant concerns about unresolved detailing, lighting integration, and long-term expansion strategy
 - o Recommended broader site planning and refinement before approval
- Steelman Comments:
 - o Opposed aluminum cap design
 - o questioned 10-year growth limit for a major installation.
- Czyszczak-Lyne's comments:
 - o Raised safety and lighting adequacy concerns
 - o Expressed concern about the proposed metal cap design

- suggested alternative lighting strategies and questioned urgency driving design decisions
- Schlecht Comments:
 - Noted that early discussions of Camp Randall shell renovation did not entertain placing HOF near new shell or veterans park project. Want to preserve the park as is
- Natura Comments:
 - Supported location but noted lack of master plan for future expansion
 - recommended improving circulation, curbing planters, and exploring planting-based lighting solutions
- Firchow Comments:
 - Suggested refining transitions between exhibit and lawn
 - Emphasized need for multiple access points and future-proofing design
- Pittman Comments:
 - Agreed with concerns on detailing and lighting
 - Requested more details on the exhibit signage location, size, and design
- Asad Comments:
 - Noted agreement with the proposed location and radial layout
 - Encouraged further defining of transition from exhibit to natural space
 - Supported deferral for refinement of design and future expansion
- Utter comments
 - Requested confirmation that all entries meet accessibility standards
 - Flagged stormwater management and erosion control considerations.

Motion: Steelman motioned not to approve the project.

Czynszak-Lyne seconded the motion.

Discussion on motion.

- Topic: Clarifying whether current detailing is final or if improvements are possible.
- Asad: Focused on lighting integration into the wall; suggests considering broader context and potential access points.
- Utter: Asked if refinements are needed for cap, planting bed, edge, or if next steps involve location decisions.
- Steelman Emphasized design evolution for this location.
- Natura: Felt the plan seems abbreviated.
- Schlecht: Agreed not to expand into recreation space; prefers maintaining green space and avoiding a master plan approach. Raised schedule concerns—asked if December review is feasible.
 - Project Team: Confirmed December review is possible.
- Czynszak-Lyne's: Restated need to go on record

Motion: Steelman amended the motion to refer the project to the December meeting with the conditions the team addresses the following: reevaluate the design of this project in this location for reconsideration of this design.

- Lighting integration and cap detailing
- Circulation and accessibility improvements
- Landscape transitions and stormwater considerations
- Long term expansion strategy

Czynszak-Lyne seconded the amendment to the motion.

Roll Call Vote to defer to December meeting:

- Natura - yes
- Firchow -yes
- Czynszak-Lyne -yes
- Asad -yes
- Steelman -yes
- Pittman -yes
- Utter -yes
- Schlecht -no

Schlecht – motion carries. We will set up another meeting for December.

Public comments:

- None recorded.

December 16, 2025 is the next DRB meeting.

These notes are what the writer understands of the proceedings. Please contact or email any changes to the writer within 5 working days if not in concurrence. End of meeting notes.