



MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Location: Virtual

Project/No: Design Review Board – November 2023

Date/Time: 11/21/23 – 9:00am

Re: DRB Meeting Minutes

Notes By: Aaron Williams, UW-Madison
FP&M, CPLA

File: P:\SHARE\Design Review Board\2023
MEETINGS\11-21-23 MTG\

NOTES

To: P:\SHARE\Design Review Board\2023 MEETINGS\11-21-23 MTG

Re: Design Review Board: Virtual – AW Notes

Date: 11/21/23

Committee Attendees:

Heidi Natura

Mary Czyszczak-Lyne

Kevin Firchow

~~Rafeeq Asad~~

Terry Steelman

Lindsey Stoddard Cameron

Ex Officio: Aaron Williams

Ex Officio: Peter Schlecht

Agenda (in-person):

- 9:00-10:00am: Schematic Design Phase – Camp Randall Sports Center Redevelopment Project #22D5A – Berners Schober/HOK – Matt Collins PM
- 10:00-11:00am: Intro – Spring Street Parking Garage #23B2K – Kimley Horn – Matt Harmon PM

DRB #1 – Schematic Design Phase – Camp Randall Sports Center Redevelopment Project #22D5A – Berners Schober/HOK – Matt Collins PM

Attendees:

- Heidi
- Terry
- Kevin

- Lindsey
- Mary
- Jason King
- Ian
- Paul Joran
- Jack Dienst
- Jeremy
- Andrew Elmer

Presenter Presentation Comments:

Committee Comments:

- Mary
 - 300 parking spaces, managed by TS?
 - King: Athletics will hire out TS to run the facility. Spaces will be built by Athletics.
- Heidi
 - Feels unfortunate if the parking is accessed at Monroe Street
 - Vehicles should get a second position in this case...fix the pedestrian problems first. Grants permission to something that doesn't need it.
 - Triangle becomes disconnected from rest of park if parking is retained.
 - Is the traffic study going to influence circulation and
- Kevin
 - Concern over the north edge and the pedestrian walkway. Needs relief.
 - Thoughts on exterior expression? Plinth relationship to parking garage. Not supportive of visible parking spaces.
 - Bring scale of western façade down to pedestrian scale.
 - Raise sidewalk up to level of IPF floor.
 - Bringing in more glazing and daylight as they've been underground for ever.
- Terry
 - Field house and stadium interact well together and have a nice relationship. Primary concern is a replacement in kind from a footprint point of view. There are a number of site pressures that would ideally be reconciled. Tightness with engineering. Could more landscape be created in this area?
 - Triangle area – constrained tangent between street and corner. Could this be more responsive to the stadium, softer corners that make the existing condition hard. It would help the relationship to Camp Randall and the Park.
 - The training facility seems more malleable because it is smaller pieces. The red is a defined footprint.
 - Volumes are less than ideal.
 - Provide sectional relationships.
- Lindsey
 - You are wanting to raise the walk up rather than using retaining walls?
 - Regrade out walk – impact to park.

Facilities Planning & Management

- If you level out the walk the triangle/Monroe street access gets very difficult.
- Enjoy the natural light into the dining area is important.
- Recommendations/Summary
 - Parking structure operations request for more information.
 - Field House and stadium has a positive relationship. Soften edges to be less of a replace in kind.
 - Engineering gap can be increased and improved.
 - Pink area is more malleable and can it float between north/south program of total facility
 - Logistics of construction and why IPF is where it is and the size it is.
 - Provide sections and impacts to existing park.
 - Pathway along park, condition, grade changes, and relationship to façade.
 - SE corner logistics and prioritizing pedestrians and reducing conflicts with vehicles.

Study Intro – Spring Street Parking Garage #23B2K – Kimley Horn – Matt Harmon PM

Attendees:

- Heidi
 - Terry
 - Kevin
 - Lindsey
 - Mary
 - Matt Harmon
 - Patrick
 - Gabe
 - Karl Gaebler
 - Ben Henderson
 - Brian Smalkoski
- Pre-Design plan to understand scope schedule and budget including 10% CD drawings.
 - Planned for 2027-29 biennium
 - Planned in coordination with the 30 N. Mills Street expansion plan and the Long-Range Transportation Plan (S-10A in the Campus Master Plan).
 - Staff permit, fleet, special event, Regent business customer parking opportunity.
 - Following ParkSmart guidelines.
 - Puts parking at the edge making in/out less disruptive to internal campus function.
 - Meets City desire to significantly upzone this entire corridor for housing and supporting land uses.

Committee Comments:

- Terry
 - 12% express ramp just at entry?
 - Sloped bays are just over 5% after the express ramp at the 1st floor.

Facilities Planning & Management

University of Wisconsin-Madison 21 N. Park Street 6th floor Madison, Wisconsin 53715-1211
 (608) 263-3000 www.fpm.wisc.edu

- Reason stair towers could not be on the south side of the building?
 - Patrick – there is a lot of unknown of the overall future development. Once we get to the design phase we will have better information.
- Mary
 - Surrounding neighborhood? Charter Street entrance.
 - Add screening so apartments will not get glaring headlights.
 - Patrick: good comment.
 - This is in a neighborhood. Darker color brick.
- Heidi
- Kevin
 - Encourage modulation of materials and look for ways to vertically break it up through materials.
- ~~○ Rafeeq~~
- Lindsey
 - I appreciate the stairs on the south side of the building...if I'm a pedestrian I'd like to be closer to my destination.
 - Kimley Horn: final design will need to evaluate this circulation issue.
 - Harman: could an outboard stair be added mid façade along Charter?
 - Kimley Horn: possible. Need to incorporate into the final design report.
- Recommendations/Summary
 - Express ramp percent slope at 12% is only from 1st to 2nd floor.
 - What is the distance from other parking structures specifically Union South.
 - Design team should review the stair tower locations in the final design planning to create a consistent façade along Spring Street to alleviate height concerns.
 - Ensure headlight glare is accommodated and minimized for neighbors.
 - Pedestrian circulation for faculty/staff should partially dictate location of stair wells.
 - Encourage modulation of materials and look for ways to vertically break it up through materials.
 - Blend into the neighborhood and existing color.
 - Schedule: Campus needs to acquire properties to move project forward. Rest of 30 N. Mills block decisions to be made. It is likely at least two years before a design project be initiated. Project will be included in our 6-year plan request.

Recommendations:

NEXT MEETING December 19, 2023 – virtual

Facilities Planning & Management

University of Wisconsin-Madison 21 N. Park Street 6th floor Madison, Wisconsin 53715-1211
(608) 263-3000 www.fpm.wisc.edu