MEETING MINUTES - APPROVED
Campus Planning Committee
November 2, 2023
Hybrid Meeting
Bascom Hall– Room 260 + Virtual WebEx
8:30am to 10:30am
NOTE: Reference meeting recording on CPC website

1. CALL TO ORDER
   Present: Jenna Alsteen, Cathy Arnott Smith, Chris Bruhn (Eric Wilcots sub.), Kate Corby, Josh Goldman, Yevgenya Grinblat, Yoshiko Herrera, Diana Hess, Charles Isbell, Bret Larget, Alex Lynn, Kurt Paulsen, Paul Peppard, Tonia Pittman, Tom Prunell, Doug Reindl, Ian Robertson, Doug Sabatke, Lindsey Stoddard Cameron, Deneen Wellik, Mark Wells, Eric Wilcots

   Excused:
   FP&M: Lexie Baslington, Mark Bastian, Jim Bogan, Angie Bollinger, Jonathan Bronk, Kirsten Coe, Shaun Frey, James Gasparick, David Gerber, Janine Glaeser, Mark Guthier, Mike Hanson, Chad Hinman, Lindsey Honeyager, Rhonda James, Patrick Kass, Molly Lenz, Brent Lloyd, Jesse Luckey-Winters, Gabe Mendez, Missy Nergard, Madeline Norton, Holly O’Higgins, Denim Ohmit, Dennis Rodenberg, Ginny Routhe, Peter Schlecht, Abe Schrump, Clark Solowicz, Chris Strang, Manny Tarin, Tanara Teal-Tate, Margaret Tennessen, Cindy Torstveit, Scott Utter, Aaron Williams, Mila Yasko,

   Guests: Tim Bent, Chris Bruhn, Armando Farias, Joel Gerrits, Glenda Gillaspy, Cathy O’Hara Weiss, Ryan Pingel, Alex Roe, Kurt Stephenson, Vallabh Sambamurthy, Jonathan Wolf

   a. Provost Isbell, committee chair, called the meeting to order at 8:30am.

2. OLD BUSINESS
   a. Approval of Meeting Minutes from October 19, 2023. (ACTION ITEM)
      - Motion to approve by Wilcots, second by Wellik.
      - Minutes approved unanimously.
   b. Update: 2023-25 Biennial Capital Budget (Torstveit)
      - Review of the capital budget timeline and status.
   c. School/College/Division Presentations (O’Higgins/Williams)
      - College of Agricultural and Life Sciences – Presenter: Glenda Gillaspy, Dean and Director
      - Refer the meeting recording for presentation content. Questions and responses are captured herein.
      - Herrera: What is the undergraduate vs. graduate enrollment in CALS?
        o Gillaspy: 2,900 undergraduates vs. 1,000 graduate students. This project will mostly cater to the undergraduate population, but we do expect graduate students to also use the space.
• Lynn: Is there any current use of the space today?
  o Gillaspy: Landscape Architecture moved out of the space related to the
classroom renovation. The entire floor is ready for renovation. This
project will also construct a formal women’s bathroom, which today is
less than appropriate.

• Facilities Planning & Management – Presenter: Peter Schlecht, University Architect
• Wilcots: How does the Outreach Center fit in with the West Campus District
Planning work currently in progress?
  o Schlecht: Planning of this project is outside the work of the West Campus
District Plan. That team is aware of this future project and is anticipating
utility corridor needs. The West Campus District Plan intentionally does
not propose changes to the Lakeshore Nature Preserve.

• Hess: I noticed a cultural consultant was involved with the Outreach Center Pre-
Design work. Has the Ho-Chunk tribe been consulted and are they aware of the
project?
  o Nergard: Yes, Chloris Lowe is on the A/E team as our tribal consultant.
They performed a blessing on the site during the planning process. One of
the project intentions is to incorporate the Ho-Chunk history and cultural
aspects so they are more visible throughout the area.

• Goldman: I am interested in the Outreach Center as representative of the
Arboretum and 1,500 acres. What is the funding situation?
  o Torstveit: We are working to have this fully gift/grant funded.

• Paulsen: Where is the building located, what is on the site currently?
  o Torstveit: The parking lot is moved closer to the building, which is sited
on the existing roadbed. University Bay Drive is rerouted south/west of
the parking facility – over what is today a portion of Lot 130.

• Paulsen: Will the parking quantity increase or reduce?
  o Schlecht: The parking quantity is planned to remain equal, but we will
work to reduce stalls as able.

• Hess: Restrooms are needed in this area of campus. What will be the hours of
those?
  o Nergard: Operational Plans are still being determined, but the intention
would be to staff the facility during the weekends due to the public
engagement component of the facility.

• Wisconsin School of Business – Vallabh Sambamurthy, Dean
• Wilcots: You are replacing the existing dining area in Grainger with this project?
  o Wolf: The idea is to bolster the existing dining experience and leverage
the meal plan with Housing.

• Hess: The plenary space will add how many seats to that.
  o Bent: We are currently working on that with the A/E team. Currently it
holds 264 with tiers. We are looking to level out the space which will
allow additional capacity. An increase of 15-30 seats depending on the
space layout.
  o Sambamurthy: Our current all-school meetings see 200-250 participants.
The goal is to allow that capacity in this space.

• Lynn: You currently have Peet’s Coffee and the Capital Café; would this be a third
space?
  o Wolf: There would be three spaces at the end of the project.

• Williams: How should the committee approach/review the projects that are
identified as gift/grant funded?
  o Torstveit: FP&M will bring forward two lists. One list of gift/grant
funded projects and another list of projects that will follow the traditional
DFD/State progression.

• Paulsen: Ag Hall, 21 N. Park, and Grainger Hall are all updating and reusing space
more efficiently. How is the committee to review those in the context of the
legislature as reuse is more sustainable?
  o Torstveit: The legislature has not given any guidance. Our planning
priorities from the Department of Administration, Universities of
Wisconsin and the Board of Regents want us to be looking at adaptive reuse when we can. The rubric is structured to get points for that. You are also getting points for removing deferred maintenance buildings that cannot be repurposed.

- Isbell: The committee should look at those topics from an opportunity or constraint to the project, but not from the political environment.

- Herrera: Please explain what was discussed around privately funded versus state funded. What are we ranking in the end?
  - Torstveit: Once we understand the funding structures, we will present two lists. A gift/grant list and a list of projects seeking state support.
  - Wilcots: Historically has there been push back on the number of projects receiving gift/grant funding and does this impact how our enumerated projects are received at System or the State.
  - Torstveit: Historically no. The State typically looks favorably on projects that have a gift component.
  - Roe: The State does not take into consideration or harm gift/grant projects. They are looking for more partnerships with donors or businesses. The State does not currently track 100% gift/grant projects. The Board of Regents has actually requested incorporating community and business engagement in the process. The State’s ability to provide sufficient funding for campus or other agency needs is not as high as anyone prefers, hence partnerships are being explored.

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS
   a. Next meeting is November 16, 2023.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Tentative Agenda Topic(s)</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 16, 2023</td>
<td>SCD presentations to CPC (x4)</td>
<td>Hybrid Bascom Room 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- SMPH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Housing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- L&amp;S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- SoE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 30, 2023</td>
<td>SCD presentation to CPC (x1)</td>
<td>Hybrid Bascom Room 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPC Ranking Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 14, 2023</td>
<td>Signage &amp; Wayfinding Policy + Guidelines</td>
<td>Hybrid Bascom Room 260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. MEETING ADJOURNMENT
   - Motion to adjourn by Chair at 9:28am.
     Motion approved unanimously.