MEETING MINUTES - APPROVED
Campus Planning Committee
May 18, 2023
Hybrid Meeting
Bascom Hall– Room 260 + Virtual WebEx
8:30am to 10:30am

NOTE: Reference meeting recording on CPC website

1. CALL TO ORDER

   Present:  Cathy Arnott Smith, Chris Bruhn, Yevgenya Grinblat, Diana Hess, Gloria Mari-Beffa, Lindsey Stoddard Cameron, Eric Wilcots

   Excused: Craig Berridge, Duncan Carlsmith, Kate Corby, Joel Gerrits, Karen Oberhauser, Kurt Paulsen, Paul Peppard, Andrew Pietroske, Tom Purnell, Doug Reindl, Ian Robertson, Liz Sadowski, Deneen Wellik, Mark Wells,

   FP&M:  Josh Arnold, Jim Bogan, Angie Bollinger, Jonathan Bronk, David Gerber, Chad Hinman, Lindsey Honeyager, Patrick Kass, Molly Lenz, Brent Lloyd, Jesse Luckey-Winters, Gabe Mendez, Missy Nergard, Madeline Norton, Holly O’Higgins, Dennis Rodenberg, Clark Solowicz, Manny Tarin, Tanara Teal-Tate, Margaret Tennessen, Cindy Torstveit, Scott Utter, Craig Weisensel, Aaron Williams

   Guests:  Alex Johnson, Kurt Stephenson, Kate Sullivan, Cathy Weiss,

   a. Wilcots, Interim Provost and committee chair, called the meeting to order at 8:33am.
   b. Welcome Peter Schlecht, Assistant Vice Chancellor of Campus Planning & Design and the University Architect. Will oversee:
      ▪ Campus Planning & Landscape Architecture
      ▪ Interior Architecture
      ▪ Space Management Office
      ▪ Physical Accessibility

2. OLD BUSINESS

   a. Approval of Meeting Minutes from March 9, 2023. (NO QUORUM - NO ACTION)
   b. Update: 2023-25 Biennial Capital Budget (Torstveit)
      ▪ Torstveit: Overview of six capital projects under review by the Joint Finance Committee. Campus along with UW System and UW Relations has been touring, briefing, and giving presentations to legislative members. Do not expect to hear from the committee for a couple of months.
      ▪ Torstveit: FP&M is beginning the 2025-27 Biennial Capital Budget process.
   c. 2025-27 BCB Planning Process & Principles (O’Higgins/Williams)
      ▪ O’Higgins: FP&M has sent invitations to engage to 31 units. 12 units have opted to not hold a meeting. 11 meetings have been held; 4 units were combined into a single SCD meeting. 4 units are in the process of setting up a meeting.
SCDs have been appreciative of the opportunity to talk through their capital project needs.

There have been opportunities for learning:

- Project funding and project type: some projects can be initiated now without waiting for the biennial capital budget process.
- Periodic engagement with an FP&M PM in the two years between the BCB process is a good way to curate a capital projects list.

- Hess: Please explain ‘3A’ and how this will work for new buildings with no reuse potential. Specific to Kinesiology and other projects as well. There is not an opportunity to reuse.
  - Williams: SoE is vacating other space on campus which should be part of the consideration.
  - Wilcots: 4A and the various moves of Humanities. Each of which is creating a new building. There is no reusing/renovating of the existing building, but it is designed to reduce the overall maintenance cost and benefits the wholistic approach for the greater good to campus.
  - Hess: The rubric was revised because of the structural problem with it and projects, through no fault of their own, would not be able to achieve points. I would argue that new buildings that are freeing up space or vacating inadequate space should get maximum points. Art Lofts, Music Building, Kinesiology should receive maximum points. I would appreciate a commitment that buildings are not going to be penalized through FP&M interpretation of the rubric.
  - Honeyager: Consolidating the rubric helped remove some of this perceived penalty.
  - Hess: Natatorium demolished, new RecWell facility put in with no attention to where Kinesiology would go. Kinesiology moved to an inferior building, and we still potentially have a rubric that could penalize some projects – I want to avoid this going forward. If Kinesiology gets full points to reprogram MSC, great. If not, we are back at the issues with the previous rubric.
  - Torstveit: FP&M would envision the full twenty points because of what it enables for the greater campus.
  - Wilcots: Projects that reprogram and renovate is broadly interpreted because it is not within a single unit, because we cannot control other unit spaces. If it is freeing up space for campus moving forward that is considered beneficial and would receive maximum points.
  - Hess: Music and Art would free up significant space. Through field testing of the rubric were these projects tested and did they receive maximum points? If this is truly ‘the most valuable real estate in Wisconsin’ per the previous Provost one would assume this.
  - Williams: It would rank very high, in addition to ‘5’ - overall university support for the project, which we know is very high around projects supporting the removal of Humanities.
  - Hess: ‘5’ only works if everything else is lined up.
  - Wilcots: Given that specific piece of real estate would be seen as maximum points.
  - Hess: I would offer a friendly amendment to ‘3A’ around ‘frees up land or creates additional development sites’ and takes this idea into consideration. Without this addition there are dueling principles that are not aligned.
  - Williams: We will add verbiage around ‘3A’.

Honeyager: I was delighted after touring the new Bakke yesterday to see a Kinesiology component to that project.
Hess: That was not in the plan. It was not in the plan. I am appreciative that our donors stepped up, but what stings is that the Kinesiology component is being touted as an advantage of the new building – even though all funding came from the donors of the School of Education.

Smith: Is ‘5’ included as a tiebreaker?

Wilcots: Not really a tiebreaker, but an opportunity for the Chancellor’s priorities to weigh heavily on what projects move forward. This could take into consideration things outside our control or what a rubric could capture. It is very possible that a lower ranked project gets moved forward as a first priority because of what the Chancellor is identifying as important.

O’Higgins: Review of timeline and milestones.

Hess: Who is drafting the SCDs one-pagers?

Wilcots: SCDs will fill out the one-pagers per the template.

Hess: How many presentations are anticipated? Did we determine it would be twenty?

Williams: The September meeting we will bring forward our recommendation and number of presentations. At that point we can decide if we want less or more.

O’Higgins: The fillable one-pager will have a number of data fields for SCDs to complete.

Wilcots: The meetings FP&M is having with the SCDs is all about capital projects and not smaller projects?

O’Higgins: The All-Agency Facility Maintenance and Repair projects are on a different timeline and not part of these discussions.

Torstveit: We have requested to increase the thresholds on small projects and on the All-Agency Facility Maintenance and Repair projects.

Bruhn: Will the projects presented in Fall be only GFSB funds or will they include G/G as well? Is the Chancellor still required to submit a combined list?

O’Higgins: Yes, one combined list is the requirement to UW System. We will see both GFSB and G/G (UW-Managed) project presentations.

Hess: If we have a 100% G/G project why does it need to be on the list that goes to UW-System?

O’Higgins: As a requirement of all System institutions, they want line of sight to all projects. Assists with their staffing and planning as they do still maintain the authority (contracts) for all UW Managed projects and take those projects to the Board of Regents.

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS

a. Next meeting is September 21, 2023. Meeting will be chaired by Provost Charles Isbell.

Committee Members please attend in person at Bascom Hall Room 260.

Guests & Interested Parties please attend virtually.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Tentative Agenda Topic(s)</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September 21, 2023</td>
<td>Water Mural</td>
<td>Hybrid Room 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FP&amp;M presentation list recommendations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 19, 2023</td>
<td>Presentations</td>
<td>Hybrid Room 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2, 2023</td>
<td>HOLD</td>
<td>Hybrid Room 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 16, 2023</td>
<td>Presentations + Ranking</td>
<td>Hybrid Room 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 30, 2023</td>
<td>HOLD</td>
<td>Hybrid Room 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 14, 2023</td>
<td>Signage &amp; Wayfinding Policy + Guidelines</td>
<td>Hybrid Room 260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. MEETING ADJOURNMENT

a. Wilcots adjourned the meeting at 9:16am