



**Minutes**  
**Campus Planning Committee Meeting (CPC)**  
**October 26, 2017**  
**Wisconsin Idea Room**  
**Education Building**

**Committee Members**

Angela Bollinger  
Aaron Crandall  
David Darling  
David Drake  
Emmet Gaffney  
Aristotle Georgiades  
Yevgenya Grinblat  
Ashley Redjinski  
Sarah Mangelsdorf  
Katherine McMahon  
Shelby O'Connor  
Leon Shoheit  
Kate Vandenbosch

**FP&M Staff**

Kris Ackerbauer  
Pete Heaslett  
Aaron Williams  
Stu LaRose  
Jesse Lucky Winters  
Brent Lloyd  
Peter Bloechl Anderson

**Visitors**

Chris Bruhn (L&S)  
Kurt Stephenlon (&S)  
Alex Roe (UWSA)  
Clark Brunner (UWPD)

## 1. Call to Order

- a. The chair of the committee, Provost Sarah Mangelsdorf, called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.
- b. David Darling, Vice Chancellor for Facilities Planning & Management, the reviewed charge of the committee and committee responsibilities.
  - The Campus Planning Committee (CPC) is charged with advising the Chancellor concerning policy issues affecting the physical facilities of the University, including long-range development planning, building and major remodeling priorities, site selection, circulation, land use and related planning matters.
  - It is CPC's responsibility to prioritize projects on the basis of University mission and university need.
  - CPC will provide the chair of the committee, Sarah Mangelsdorf with a priority list of **recommended priorities**. The list will be forwarded on to the Chancellor's office and then on to UW-System.
  - Potential projects, in no particular order
    1. GFSB projects
      - a. Bascom Hill/Lathrop Drive Utilities Phase II
      - b. Utility Project Phase II
      - c. McArdle Renovation (Undecided)
      - d. Vet Med SVM Expansion
    2. PRSB projects
      - a. Camp Randall South End Zone Renovation
      - b. Gym/Nat Replacement
      - c. Kohl Center Addition and Renovation
      - d. Dayton Street Parking Ramp
      - e. Kronsage Residence Hall Renovation
      - f. Slichter Residence Hall Renovation

## 2. Old Business

- a. The minutes from the September 28, 2017 meeting were unanimously approved

## 3. New Business

- a. Mark Markel, Dean of the School of Veterinary Medicine – Presentation on Vet Med Expansion
  - i. Dean Markel provided an extensive overview of the School, its impact, and its history of providing exceptional veterinary care and conducting innovative research. The school consists of 280 total faculty, instructors and staff. The Vet School extends throughout Wisconsin and into nearly every state in the US, providing care to local farmers and providing guidance to Wisconsin Veterinarians. The teaching hospital provides hands-on learning for students, while treating more than 7,400 animals. The school conducts research on infectious diseases, including Zika and Ebola, with 75% of Vet Med scientists involved in the research. Overall, the school ranks in the top 5 of all US Vet Med schools for: Vet Med Education, Clinical Services and Biomedical Research.

- ii. Existing Buildings
  - 1. The Main building is located on Linden Drive at Easter Day Rd. The total building has 249,000 GSF, which includes a teaching center, research labs, an animal hospital, and administrative space. Other buildings within the Vet Med branch include Hanson Lab, Dairy Cattle Center, and Charmany Farms.
- iii. Building Need
  - 1. Vet Med continues to rank as one of the top 5 Vet Med programs, despite a severe lack of appropriate space. Program expansion, research funding, faculty numbers, and the hospital caseload all continue to grow, but lack of space limits this development.
  - 2. The space limitations has a detrimental impact on professional skills development, the students' experience, and school performance. The lack of space leads to excess wait time for clients, bottlenecks in service delivery, and inadequate separation of species, clients and patients resulting in increased anxiety for all involved. Insufficient space poses challenges for large animal clients and limits the school's ability to support program needs, as well as limiting faculty recruitment and retention.
- iv. Building Feasibility Study
  - 1. A building feasibility study was concluded in 2016 to define program specific challenges. Dean Mark Markel spoke about the results of the study and in the general needs of the space:
    - a. The separate locations for the small and large animal hospitals leads to an inefficient workflow. Moving these programs to more proximate locations to each other will help support each program and improve efficiency.
    - b. The small animal case load is expected to significantly increased.
- v. Challenges Addressed by Feasibility study
  - 1. A large amount of challenges are due to the limited urban space of the building site. The site is bound by a railroad to the South, the Lot 62 campus parking demand must be met, large animal client vehicle access is a challenge and must be maintained, and the site needs to work with the current Campus Master Plan Study.
  - 2. The Feasibility Study was done as a 30 year growth planning structure. The study recommended expanding the Small Animal Hospital on the north side of Linden into Lot 62. At grade connections would connect expansion with current building. A building expansion would make it feasible to maintain current program process needs.
  - 3. Total project cost \$113.3 M.
- 2. Lathrop Drive/Bascom Hill Utility Phase II
  - a. Jeff Pollei, Director of Utilities & Energy Management – Presentation on the utility improvements in the Bascom Hill / Lathrop Drive area of campus.

- i. Jeff Pollei talked in detail about the university's utility system. The campus currently has three major sources for utilities: the Walnut Street Heating Plant, the West Campus Co-Generation Facility, and the Charter Street Heating Plant. The University has also expanded its infrastructure with the Charter Street Heating Plant Rebuild and the WCFF Chiller Plant Expansion
    - b. Lathrop Drive/Bascom Hill Utility Repairs
      - i. The scope of this project is based on DOA/DFD Project 13J2X Study conducted in 2014. The scope of work includes thermal utilities, primary electric power, signal communications, and civil utilities. Due to the age, location, and condition of the infrastructure, it was recommended that the Utilities be relocated
        - 1. According to campus utility maps, the majority of utilities were installed prior to 1940.
        - 2. Six recent failures near Lathrop Dr. have occurred, causing extensive damage. One example is the October 2017 Chilled Water Pipe Failure and Steam Pipe Failure which caused extensive damage to Campus Buildings, with repairs totaling over \$900,000.
      - ii. Total estimated budget of \$32,656,000
- 3. Announcements
  - a. The next meeting will be November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2017 8:30AM-10:00AM in Room 159 Education Building
- 4. Meeting Adjournment

There were no other questions or comments and the meeting was adjourned at 10:00 a.m.

*Angela M. Bollinger, Secretary*