



Facilities Planning & Management

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

MEETING MINUTES - Approved

Campus Planning Committee

May 3, 2018

Teacher Education Building - Room 336

8:30am to 10:00am

1. CALL TO ORDER

Present: Aaron Crandall; David Drake; Mark Eriksson; Aristotle Georgiades; James LaGro; Lesley Moyo; Sarah Mangelsdorf; Shelby O'Connor; John Karl Scholz; Emmett Gaffney

Absent: Joel Gerrits; Yevgenya Grinblat; Ashley Redjinski; David Marcoullier; Katherine McMahon; Ian Robertson; Lon Shohet; Kate VandenBosch

FP&M: Angela Bollinger; Margaret Tennesen; Gary Brown; Stu LaRose; David Darling; Rob Shively

Guests: Chris Bruhn; Kurt Stephenson; Meloney Linder; Karen Soley; Clark Brunner; Carrie Kruse; Matthew McCord

a. Provost Sarah Mangelsdorf, committee chair, called the meeting to order at 8:32am.

2. OLD BUSINESS

a. Meeting Minutes from March 15, 2018 were approved as drafted.

3. NEW BUSINESS

- a. Presentation: Letters & Sciences (L&S) Master Plan – Karl Scholz, Dean of L&S
- 16 departments are in top 20, world class department in unsatisfactory facilities.
 - 50+ meetings – one on one and 27+ building checks – assessment reports
 - High level of involvement in the process
 - The footprint of L&S is “everywhere on campus” – not a distinct area of campus
 - Facility quality index to identify problematic facilities
 1. Condition
 2. Value (Historic Significance)
 3. Functionality
 - Space efficiency study – are we using the space?
 - Two primary deficiencies Teach Labs & Research Labs
 - Construction of new Academic Buildings – consistent with Campus Master Plan

- Humanities Building – 11 programs from 7 different buildings – a need to consolidate
- Ingraham Hall Addition
- Computer Sciences & Statistics
- Psychology - Center for Healthy Minds
- Mangelsdorf asked about no new buildings since 1972?
 - Weeks Hall is the most recent building since 1972
 - L&S got more red stickers than any other college – aware of the problem, “gifts” are hard to come by with the alumni.
- Moyo stated it appears the quality and condition of facilities is greater than the need of space. Scholz stated not necessarily and is dependent on each situation.

b. Presentation: Libraries Master Plan – Ed Van Gemert & Carrie Kruse

- Mangelsdorf set the stage for the importance of this plan. Books have a different meaning to different places. Varies by discipline. We need to address preservation.
- The project charge of the A/E included:
 - Inventory the quality and quantity of existing square footage on campus.
 - Many libraries are not under their control.
 - Understand usage of facility to predict usage going forward.
 - Identify an organizational model that will help manage the facilities into the future.
- Van Gemert stated we live in a digital world and the Departmental to Hub model is essential in that plan.
- Kruse outlined the planning process, outreach, and existing departmental model.
 - 40+ libraries currently exist, scope of master plan focused on 22 of the larger libraries.
 - Looked at cost to run the operation – people/maintenance/utilities/etc.
 - Create facility condition assessments for specific library sites.
- Van Gemert stated the final recommendation will involve retention with significant remodeling to address how we use the library today.
- This plan coordinated with and aligns well with the L&S Master Plan.
- Three areas of comments & concerns
 1. Recommendation on number of books in Memorial Library?
 2. Consolidate Kohler Art Library?
 3. Perception on the amount of faculty engagement?
- Van Gemert discussed the push toward DOA recommended square foot per person as there is a significant amount of materials that need to be processed.
- Van Gemert discussed staging for what the master plan is recommending as well as the importance of Verona. There is a need for a preservation quality facility on this campus.
- Presentation was concluded with next steps and the need for the library to prepare a summary document.
- Eriksson asked if we are losing spaces like libraries to hubs, will we need to create spaces for students to study? Informal learning spaces?
- LaGro reinforced the importance of the library and remaining competitive is justification alone.

4. ANNOUNCEMENTS

- a. There will be no CPC meetings over the summer recess unless need arises.

5. MEETING ADJOURNMENT

- a. Mangelsdorf adjourned the meeting at 9:52am